

Peninsula • Enterprise,

JOHN W. EDMONDS,
EDITOR AND OWNER.

ACCOMAC COURT HOUSE, VA.

Entered at the Post Office at Accomac, C. D.,
Va., as Second-class Matter.

Saturday, April 25, 1908.

A meeting of those interested in the oyster industry is to be held at Willis Wharf to-day for the purpose of effecting an organization looking to their protection, it is stated, along lines similar to those in effect in the Produce Exchange, and concert of action on their part seems to be as necessary as it is with the farmers, if they would dispose of their product to advantage in the markets of the country. With the notion now prevailing in some sections that oysters are the breeders of all kinds of diseases the time, indeed, is not very remote when they must organize, so as to be able to give the guarantee required of them, that their oysters are free from contamination and to brand them in conformity with the laws when they are to be marketed. The time in fact, is now at hand if they are to be sold in New York for the oyster people to have an organization to give the information as to shipments made there, required by a resolution recently adopted by the Board of Health of that city, which as individuals they could not conveniently furnish. That similar resolutions will be passed by the Boards of Health of Boston, Philadelphia, Baltimore and the other oyster markets of the country, of course, is to be expected, and the oyster people in session to-day must see the necessity for concert of action on their part at once, if they would get proper returns for shipments made by them.

An Oyster Exchange, in fact, is needed for many reasons by our oyster people. With it they could provide against the overstocking of any market with oysters in the same way that the Produce Exchange does, create a demand for their stock in being able to give the guarantee that it would up to representation, regulate the price of it by seeing that the supply sent to market is not greater than the demand for it and be benefitted in many other ways—especially in being able to influence legislation as a body which they could not as individuals for the promotion of the oyster industry.

Our oysters being free from contamination the resolutions adopted by the Board of Health of the cities will be to our benefit and not to our injury, if we will take steps to have them marketed properly. Will our oyster people make a start in that direction in their meeting of to-day?

A few people of some prominence and others, doubtless, influenced by them, have declared against the primary and want it abolished. The class to which they belong is described and the reason for holding fast to the plan we now have for nominating candidates is presented, in a very forcible and convincing way by the News-Leader in the following:

"Machine politicians who can control machines but who can not control the people are anxious, of course, to have the primary abolished and therefore, do all they can to make it unpopular with the public. Some good people who forget to think ahead and who looked just at the surface and the present, become impatient with the workings of the primary and are disposed to demand return to the old system. On the same principle many of us become tired to death of the responsibility and annoyance of managing our own affairs and long for a guardian or somebody who would undertake the job for us and save us the worry of thinking and acting for ourselves. We know, however, that this is an unmanly and cowardly spirit and when it comes to the actual test, if we be real freemen, prefer independence with all its annoyances. The question between the primary and convention is the question whether we will continue to be responsible for our own government and to make and direct it, or whether we will surrender such work from our weary and inert hands into the hands of bosses."

The right to vote is one of the most sacred privileges of an American citizen. To do so you must pay your poll taxes. Unless paid on or before Saturday, May 2d, you cannot vote and help in the election of a Democratic President, which now seems to be reasonably certain in November. Pay your poll taxes at once and be ready to participate in the great Democratic victory. Do it to-day or you may forget it.

William Peter Barksdale, the "little giant of Halifax," died at his home at Houston Wednesday morning, aged 43 years. He was a native of the county of Halifax and a graduate of the Virginia Military Institute. For 20 years he had been conspicuous in politics in this State. Fifteen years ago he entered the State Senate, serving for two terms. He was the author of the election law which is now on the statutes of the State.

Believing that gasoline power boats are more economical to operate and can get under way much faster than steam craft, the State Board of Fisheries has decided to dispose of two of steam vessels. The new gasoline vessels will be stationed at various points, and will be used for police purposes all the year around.

The Virginia and Southwestern Railroad has formally applied to the State Corporation Commission for permission to increase its passenger rates to three cents a mile. Prior to the fixing of the rate at two cents by the commission the road charged four cents a mile. The matter was taken under consideration.

Messrs. A. C. Braxton, of Richmond; J. Boyd Sears, of Mathews; E. Lee Trinkle, of Wythe; R. Tate Irvine, of Wise, are among those prominently mentioned for the positions of electors at large on the State Democratic ticket.

Another Open Letter.

To L. D. Teakle Quinby, Esq.,
Onancock, Va.,

Dear Sir:—I have just read your letter in the county papers and ask a little space in which to reply to the charges which you make against me. You do not come out openly and make direct charges as a real man should do, but you adopt the "foxy" method of making charges by inference, by asking questions from which you wish the people to infer that I had done things which I have never thought of doing, and I now challenge you to bring one iota of proof to support the charges you have made. Is this the clean, open fight which you wish to have? I am not attacking and criticizing me about which you boast in your letter? No really high man would make a charge against another, by implication, or in any other manner, that he could not back up by implication at least, that Mr. Mears, whom you say in one sentence "is a gentleman to the manor born" was guilty along with myself of having made a political deal where my Mr. Mears promised me something that he could not deliver in return for my supporting him for Judge, the insinuation being that he was to elevate me to a seat in congress. I brand the charge that any deal of any kind was made by Mr. Mears, or his friends, or any living man, with me to secure my support as absolutely untrue and unfounded, and you will find that such an unfounded and absurd charge will not injure the reputation of Mr. Mears, or myself, or anyone else, that it should, but will only show to the people of the Eastern Shore of Virginia the smallness of the man who made it. No, Mr. Quinby, the congressional bee has not yet begun to buzz in my bonnet, and I don't think it will so long as that peerless democrat, Hon. William A. Jones, the idol of the people of the First Congressional District of Virginia, is willing to serve them.

Now, won't you be equally frank and tell the people, what many already surmise, and what all will have to know soon, that your criticism of me is due in a large measure to the legislative bee that is buzzing in your bonnet. You ask me to announce whether I will be a candidate for reelection two years from now, and my reply is, I will. It is now up to you to be equally plain and frank. Let the people know why it was that you attacked me in the editorial column of your paper, and also in the same issue in an article over the "nom de plume" of "Non Particeps Criminis," and why it was when I asked you to give me the name of the author of that article, you declined and did not have the manhood to acknowledge that you had written that as well as the editorial, and only admitted it by your silence, after I had publicly charged you in my open letter with the authorship of the Accomack Bar.

You state that you are unwilling to admit that the majority of the members of the Accomack Bar should have guided me in my course "when the majority was made up of myself and a few others who were naturally following me." If you eliminate my brother altogether and allow even poor me to have a preference, Mears would still have a majority of the Accomack Bar. If you insist that I too must be eliminated as a member of the Accomack Bar, because I was in the legislature, then Senator Gunter would also have to be eliminated for the same reason, and still Mr. Mears would have a majority of the Accomack Bar, so Mr. Quinby, Mr. Mears had a majority of this Bar any way you take it, even if you do eliminate my brother because he is my brother, and because you may think he has no opinion of his own. As a matter of fact, he endorsed Mr. Mears' candidacy two days before I signed or saw the paper endorsing him and without mentioning the matter to me at all, and he also informs me that he told his friend, Mr. S. James Turlington, before I came home from Richmond, during the recess of the legislature, that he did not favor Mr. Wescott for Judge, this statement being made in response to a request from Mr. Turlington that my brother endorse Mr. Wescott, if Mr. Parsons did not become a candidate before the legislature, so you see Mr. Quinby my brother really did have an opinion of his own and did not follow me in this matter, but I followed him together with the majority of the other members of the Accomack Bar.

Now you charge me with ingratitude to Mr. Wescott, who you say has aided me in the achievement of what political success I have attained. Unless I am badly mistaken this charge like all the others you have made is absolutely untrue. Unless I am misinformed, if Mr. Wescott ever supported me for the legislature, it was in a general election against a republican opponent and after I had won in the primary or been declared the nominee without a contest. I have been nominated by the Democratic party three times, once without opposition. The last contest I had, Mr. Wescott was in it, and he did not take any part at that time. The first time I was nominated was when I opposed the Hon. S. Wilkins Matthews. If Mr. Wescott supported me at that time, I certainly had no reason to think so, but he has every reason to think to the contrary. His own precinct, Mappsville, went against me by a large majority, and I am reliably informed that a short time before that election Mr. Wescott told Mr. J. H. Fletcher, who was then making a strong fight for Mr. Matthews, that he (Wescott) was for Matthews, and that he (Wescott) thought the people of his district were for Matthews, and the vote showed that they were for Matthews. So much for the charge of ingratitude to Mr. Wescott and political preferment. I wish to state here though that I entertain no hard feeling against Mr. Wescott for opposing me on that occasion. I accord to him the same right to express his preferences in such contests that I claim for myself, and I was not at all influenced in my opposition to him for the judgeship by the fact that he opposed me in my first political contest for the House of Delegates. I make this statement only to show the injustice and untruthfulness of your charges, for among the many faults I may possess, ingratitude is not one of them.

You ask me to state when I got the petitions to support Mr. Mears that I have referred to, whether it was before or after I went to Hampton to try to influence my friend, Harry Houston, to vote for Mr. Mears. The endorsement of the Accomack Bar requesting or petitioning for Mr. Mears' election, I got before I went to Hampton. I was also requested by many people verbally to support Mr. Mears, before I went to Hampton. I was also assured by friends from Pungoteague District in whose judgement I had confidence, that that district was overwholly for Mr. Mears, before I went to Hampton. I saw many people in my own district, and became convinced that they were for Mr. Mears, before I went to Hampton. I was also assured by gentlemen in whose judgement I had confidence, that I have confidence that in their opinion the majority of the people of Atlantic District were not for Mr. Wescott, before I went to Hampton. I also saw many people in Lee District, before I went to Hampton, who were requested by about an equal number of friends of each candidate to support their respective choices. Upon my return from Hampton, where I did everything I could in an honorable way, to advance the candidacy of Mr. Mears, for which I have no ex-

cuses to offer or apologies to make, I found letters and petitions endorsing Mr. Mears' candidacy awaiting me, and they continued to come to me from that time until the day before the election took place in Richmond. I will state that by far the greater number of them were from Mr. Wescott's own magisterial district, Pungoteague. I also got letters from Pungoteague and Lee districts requesting me to support Mr. Wescott, and I got not to exceed six letters from the entire upper parish of Accomack county requesting me to support him. In justice to Mr. Wescott, however, I will state that I have been informed since the adjournment of the Legislature that a petition endorsing his candidacy was sent from Chincoteague to Senator Gunter, but Mr. Gunter did not show it to me, through an oversight, I understand, not noticing that it was addressed to myself as well as to him. When you ask if the petitions were not instigated by myself through Mr. Mears' friends, in order to give myself a basis for backing my position, you flatter me indeed, I should certainly feel proud, if I had the influence to bring forth from Accomack county, and especially from Mr. Wescott's home district, the powerful endorsement given Mr. Mears by those petitions. No, Mr. Quinby, I have not such influence. I did not instigate those petitions. I took it that they expressed the wishes of the people who signed them, and that they were sent to me that I might know their wishes and be in a position to represent to my colleagues in the Legislature what the people of my county desired in the matter.

You asked me why Mr. Gunter, who represents both Accomack and Northampton counties, did not have a much larger batch of Mears petitions than I had. Frankly, I don't know what Mr. Gunter did have. You say that as well as ask me why Mr. Gunter voted for Mr. Wescott instead of Mr. Mears as you did ask me why Mr. Wilkins did not vote for Judge Robinson. These are matters with which I have not found it necessary to concern myself. Plainly, it is none of my business, but as it seems to be yours "in performance of what you conceive to be a conscientious duty to the reading public who see fit to patronize my paper," I would respectfully refer you for any information along these lines to Mr. Gunter and to Mr. Wilkins, who are gentlemen entirely able to give the information you desire concerning their own affairs. Friend Quinby, you certainly make yourself seriously "concentious" to be a conscientious duty to the reading public who see fit to patronize my paper. I would respectfully refer you for any information along these lines to Mr. Gunter and to Mr. Wilkins, who are gentlemen entirely able to give the information you desire concerning their own affairs. Friend Quinby, you certainly make yourself seriously "concentious" to be a conscientious duty to the reading public who see fit to patronize my paper. I would respectfully refer you for any information along these lines to Mr. Gunter and to Mr. Wilkins, who are gentlemen entirely able to give the information you desire concerning their own affairs.

Now there is only one more charge you make for me to answer, and I am sorry you have forced me to answer, because the answer may wound your sensitive feelings. Referring to the certificate of the Clerk of Accomack county showing the licensed members of the Accomack County Bar, in which certificate Mr. Wescott's name did not appear, you charged that I and those supporting Mr. Mears attempted a grandstand play of this trifle in the published pamphlet of Mr. Mears' candidacy before the members of the legislature without any explanation whatever. I know not what "others supporting Mr. Mears" may have done, but your statement, in so far as I am concerned, is maliciously untrue. I made no reference to that matter in the pamphlet, and, in fact, I knew nothing whatever of the pamphlet or its contents, until it was published and distributed. I had I anything to say to do with getting the certificate complained of from the clerk. It would be just as fair for friends of Mr. Mears to hold you accountable for the report circulated in Richmond that Mr. Mears was unfit to be judge because he was not a member of the bar, and even "dead" Mr. Mears said he heard it "whispered" around that he was dead. I have no reason to think you got that report and should be contemptible enough to charge you with having done so, without having proof that you did. I should consider that I was a "Lepre" indeed. I have one of those pamphlets in my possession now which certifies which you complain of is as follows: "List of Attorneys licensed to practice law at the bar of Accomack county for the year beginning May 1st, 1907. Warner Ames, James H. Fletcher, Jr., Benjamin T. Gunter, G. Walter Mann, L. Floyd Nock, John S. Parsons, Stewart R. Pledge, S. James Turlington, J. Harry Rew, John R. Rew, Roy D. White, Samuel T. Rouse, J. C. Smith, Thos. H. Grant, Clerk." Following the above certificate was an endorsement of Mr. Mears' candidacy for Judge signed by seven of the above twelve. I have been told by the gentleman who secured the certificate from the clerk, that at the time he asked for it, he did not know that Mr. Wescott was not licensed, and that it was obtained with no intention of making it a point against him, but it was obtained because it had been reported to him and perhaps other former members of the Accomack Bar would possibly endorse Mr. Wescott's candidacy, and it was not thought fair to state that those who had not practiced law for years should be paraded before the legislature as men equally entitled with practicing attorneys who should be judges. At that time I was not aware of the great "concentious duty" under which you were laboring, in other words, that you had become the self constituted political, moral and social censor of the Eastern Shore, and that you were endeavoring to secure for yourself a state of nervous prostration over the judgeship.

Are you really thorough? Now, friend Quinby, after you had criticized me for months in your paper and in a public statement for the "leprosy" of falsehood and misrepresentation contained in your letter, and then you attempt to defend yourself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw up your hands in holy horror and cry "personal attack." There was nothing personal in the suggestion of "Non Particeps Criminis." "Et tu Brute?" &c. &c. and then as soon as attempt to defend myself and pleasantly referred to the "Prodigal Son," who play the happy and throw